

# NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume X, Issue No. 2

August 2004

*All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.*

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

## The "Son of Patriot Act" Also Rises

Patriot Act II legislation is rearing its head again in a new bill making its way through Congress.

The bill would authorize the FBI to demand that businesses hand over confidential records, and levy stiff penalties (up to five years in prison) to anyone who discloses that the FBI made the demand. The bill would also expand the government's secret surveillance powers over noncitizens in the United States.

"There is no reason for this legislation," said lawyer Chip Pitts, head of the Bill of Rights Defense Committee and a former constitutional law professor. "Given the expanse of powers and secrecy already granted in the Patriot Act, and given the unclear security benefits and possible security detriments of that legislation, why do we need a further amendment of the law to grant more powers to the government?"

The bill, known as the Anti-Terrorism Intelligence Tools Improvement Act, or HR 3179, contains four sections dubbed Patriot Act II that were discovered last year by the Center for Public Integrity. Patriot Act II, or "Son of Patriot" as critics called it, was written by the Department of Justice. DOJ was forced to shelve the proposal after news of it created an uproar.

But rather than abandoning the legislation, DOJ has been extracting provisions and having sympathetic lawmakers slip them one by one into new bills. A Patriot Act II provision was slipped into the Intelligence Authorization Act of 2004 at the last

minute and passed quickly. The Intelligence Authorization Act, a funding bill, is a must-pass bill that generally gets drafted and passed quickly in secrecy.

At least five other bills pending in Congress also contain provisions from Patriot Act II.

Proponents of HR 3179 say critics are overreacting. They say the bill will simply "plug a few gaps" in the Patriot Act by establishing penalties for noncompliance.

But opponents say the bill grants the government more power to investigate people without probable cause and to do so under a cloak of secrecy. As a result, individuals being investigated will have no chance to protest unconstitutional searches and seizures.

Under the Patriot Act and Patriot Act II provisions passed in the Intelligence Authorization Act, the FBI doesn't need a court order or probable cause to obtain the transaction records for patrons of libraries, Internet service providers, telephone companies, casinos, travel agents, jewelers, car dealers or other businesses.

The FBI can simply draft a "national security letter" stating records are needed for a national security investigation, without being specific about the data being sought or the people being investigated. A nondisclosure provision prevents the letter recipient from telling anyone about it, including patrons whose records may be investigated.

Under HR 3179, anyone who knowingly violates the secrecy clause could be imprisoned for up to a year, and anyone who violates it with "the intent to obstruct an investigation or judicial proceeding" could be imprisoned up to five years. The bill

also lets authorities force individuals and companies to comply with security letters under contempt-of-court threats.

Jeff Lungren, spokesman for the House Judiciary Committee where the bill currently resides, said HR 3179 simply gives teeth to the Patriot Act.

But Jim Dempsey, director of the Center for Democracy and Technology, said the bill tips the balance of power further into government hands. "It's a way to increase the government's leverage," Dempsey said, noting that no employee would want to go to jail for 30 days, let alone five years, to defend the privacy of the company's patrons.

Steve Lileenthal, director of the Center for Privacy and Technology Policy at the conservative Free Congress Foundation, said the gag rule is "a license for abuse."

"You have the right to talk to an attorney, but the attorney cannot talk to anyone else," he said. "You're prevented from going to the Department of Justice to communicate, or to the relevant congressional community to tell them when an abuse has taken place. It's almost un-American."

In addition to penalties for disclosure, HR 3179 expands surveillance of noncitizens by amending the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978. Currently FISA investigations involve individuals or groups acting on behalf of a foreign government or terrorist organization. But HR 3179 would let the government conduct secret domestic surveillance against noncitizens believed to be engaged in international terrorism, but who have no known affiliation with a foreign government or terrorist group.

Pitts, of the Bill of Rights Defense Committee, said the amendment could be used to justify surveillance of

noncitizens for criminal activity, for work with organizations like Amnesty International or for donating money to an environmental organization that stages protests.

"Because there is no accepted definition of international terrorism, and because they're eliminating the need for someone to be acting on behalf of a foreign government, you're relying on subjective and perhaps arbitrary or politically motivated definitions" to determine who could be secretly investigated, he said.

Bob Barr, a member of the American Conservative Union who has been practicing law since leaving Congress last year, testified against the bill and said that Congress should not be passing new laws to strengthen the Patriot Act while there are concerns about how the legislation has been used to date. The FBI has admitted using the Patriot Act for nonterrorism investigations, such as cases involving corruption in a Las Vegas strip club, drug trafficking and other criminal activity.

Barr said the surveillance powers of the Patriot Act play "fast and loose" with the Constitution and that the secrecy penalties in HR 3179 would make its assault on the Fourth Amendment even worse.

"If the government is able to conduct its powers in secret, then we never know the extent to which its power is being used or being abused," he said. "If the government wants to conduct more of its business in secret and without probable cause, then (we should) just amend or repeal the Fourth Amendment, not allow the government to eat away at it in small steps and pretend it's still there."

Barr said the law should allow recipients of national security letters the right to challenge them, just as they can challenge grand jury subpoenas. The American Civil Liberties Union recently discovered just how daunting the secrecy provisions can be when it was forced to file a lawsuit in secret that challenged the constitutionality of national security letters under the First Amendment. The organization was able to reveal the existence of the lawsuit only after negotiating with the government about what it could say about the suit.

The lawsuit was filed after the ACLU filed a Freedom of Information Act request for information about how often and in what cases authorities have used national security letters to

date, and the organization received six pages of blacked-out documents.

-- June 14, 2004  
<http://www.wired.com/news/privacy/0,1848,63800,00.html>

**Klaprott v. United States**, 335 U.S. 601, 608 (1949) -- "Thus, this petitioner has now been held continuously in prison by the Government for six and one-half years. During that period he served one and one-half years of a penitentiary punishment under a conviction which this Court held was improper. He was also held in the District of Columbia jail two years and ten months under an indictment that was later dismissed. It is clear therefore, that for four and one-half years this petitioner was held in prison on charges that the Government was unable to sustain. No other conclusion can be drawn except that this long imprisonment was wrongful. Whether the judgment by default should be set aside must therefore be decided on the undenied allegations that the Government, largely through the action of FBI agents, wrongfully held petitioner in New York, Michigan, and District of Columbia prisons, while the same Government, largely acting through the same or other FBI agents, caused a district court to revoke petitioner's citizenship on the ground that petitioner had failed to make appearance and defend in the New Jersey courts, although petitioner was at the time without funds to hire a lawyer."

### Gun Lobby Racks Up Legislative Gains

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - Gun proponents have worked hard for 15 years and with considerable success to win the right for Americans to carry concealed weapons.

In 1986, only eight states were obliged by law to issue citizens who requested them licenses to carry such weapons. That number has grown to 38, according to the National Rifle Association.

Ohio's law took effect in January. Last year alone, New Mexico, Colorado, Minnesota and Missouri, where legislators overrode a veto by Gov. Bob Holden, passed "right to carry" laws for applicants who pass a gun training course and a background check.

"We have seen the normalization of the idea that Americans may carry concealed weapons. It is a

quiet revolution and it will bring about a certain culture change as we become accustomed to the idea of people in urban as well as rural areas carrying weapons around with them," said Robert Cottrol of the George Washington University law school.

Statistics suggest that the number of U.S. households owning firearms has stayed stable or may even have declined slightly in recent years. The data is somewhat uncertain since surveys have produced figures as high as 49 percent and as low as 36 percent.

The number of weapons in circulation continues to rise.

Some believe the spread of concealed weapons laws will have little practical effect.

"These 'right to carry' laws have swept much of the country and do have great symbolic significance for proponents and opponents but they are full of sound and fury, signifying nothing," said William Vizzard, a criminal justice professor at Cal State Sacramento, quoting Shakespeare's *Macbeth*.

Vizzard said the number of people applying for permits was relatively low and the number of people actually carrying weapons on a daily basis even lower.

In Arizona, which recently marked 10 years since passing its "right to carry" law, around 68,000 residents have active permits. The state population last year was 5.6 million. Some proponents now want to make it easier for applicants to get the permits by reducing the mandatory training hours.

The data suggests that neither the promises of gun groups that such laws would deter crime, nor the warnings of opponents that they would cause more gun accidents, have come to pass.

Jim Kessler of Americans for Gun Safety said his group did not even bother fighting such laws.

"They are ineffective in stopping crime but they also seem to be unhelpful and have not led to mass shootings the way some on the left feared," he said.

-- July 21, 2004

### RI Court Upholds Restrictions on Concealed Carry

(CNSNews.com) - The Rhode Island Supreme Court has upheld the state's restrictive concealed carry law.

In a 4-1 ruling, the court rejected arguments that the "individual

*In the beginning of change the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot.* – Mark Twain

right to bear arms" -- as set forth in the U.S. Constitution's Second Amendment -- gives people the right to carry hidden, loaded weapons in public.

The Brady Center to Prevent Gun Violence, which filed a friend of the court brief in the case, hailed the ruling.

"This ruling will protect the public and police officers from the proven dangers of concealed weapons," said Daniel R. Vice, a staff attorney for the Brady Center.

The Rhode Island Police Chief's Association and the Rhode Island Chapter of the Million Mom March also support restrictive gun laws. In Rhode Island, only people judged to have a legitimate need are licensed to carry concealed weapons

The R.I. Supreme Court said the law is a "reasonable...regulation of weapons that falls squarely within the state's police power."

The Brady Campaign points to a recent study showing that states with relaxed concealed carry laws have experienced increases in violent crime.

But pro-Second Amendment groups reject such conclusions, arguing that concealed carry laws put law-abiding citizens on the same footing as armed criminals.

-- CNSNews.com, June 11, 2004

### **Instead of a Block Party...**

As you know it is a sin for a Taliban male to see any woman other than his wife naked, and that he must commit suicide if he does.

So all American women are asked to walk out of their houses completely naked to help weed out any neighborhood terrorists.

Circling your block for one hour is recommended for this anti-terrorist effort.

All men are to position themselves in lawn chairs in front of their house to prove they are not Taliban, and to demonstrate that they think its okay to see nude women other than their wife.

And since the Taliban also does not approve of alcohol, a bottle of whiskey or wine and a cooler of beer at your side will be further proof of your anti-Taliban sentiment. For good measure, have various pork products cooking on your BBQ grill.

The New Jersey militia appreciates your efforts to root out terrorists and applauds your participation in this patriotic anti-terrorist activity.

### **The Midsummer Encampment at Bohemian Grove**

In recent years, a major effort has been waged to convince people that the annual Bohemian Grove meeting at Monte Rio, California is simply a harmless get-together of elite government, industry and entertainment people to discuss the issues of the day. Of course, nothing could be further from the truth.

An article in the *Press Democrat*, reveals one of the rituals which former Satanist Cisco Wheeler describes in her book *The Illuminati Formula to Create an Undetectable Mind Control Slave*.

"It begins with the Cremation of Care, a ceremonial bonfire beneath a giant statue of an owl that is meant to symbolize the release of burden. The robed men gathered at the edge of the lake include world leaders, captains of industry and celebrities, as well as lesser-known businessmen, academics and even a musician or two. They are drawn every July to a pristine forest of redwoods along the Russian River, where access is strictly limited to invited members and guests. Bizarre ritual and secrecy aside, the real attraction of the Midsummer Encampment at Bohemian Grove is escape from worldly concerns." ("Roughing it' Boho-Style: At the Bohemian Grove", *The Press Democrat*, July 24, 2004)

Cisco Wheeler describes this Cremation ritual somewhat differently. You see, the "Cremation of Care" is a standard Black Magick ritual, of which Satanists are well aware. A satanic flyer in 1982 entitled, "Rod McManigal Directory" depicts a hooded man called the Witch performing the Cremation of Care ritual while the fire god, Molech, towers above him. The owl at the very top of the picture spreads its wings over the proceedings.

Now, let us return to another *Press Democrat* story, "Eclectic Crowd for Annual Bohemian Gathering":

"Secretary of State Colin Powell, Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, the CEO of Bechtel Corp., former Grateful Dead bandmates and vintner Robert Mondavi are scheduled to attend the Midsummer Encampment of the Bohemian Club of San Francisco. So, too, is conservative author William F. Buckley Jr., liberal TV personality Chris Matthews and gay porn star Chad Savage ... Former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger, a perennial camper, arrived Thursday by private plane at the Charles M. Schulz-Sonoma County Airport. Fifty to 100 private jets have landed at the airport

daily in the past two weeks ... Its guest list this year includes George Schultz, a former secretary of state; David O'Reilly, chairman of ChevronTexaco; H.B. Atwater Jr., chief executive officer of General Mills; and Edgar Kaiser Jr., founder of the Kaiser Foundation ... Rumsfeld and former President George Bush are members of the Hill Billies camp, although it's unclear whether either is actually going. Former President Gerald Ford, however, apparently won't be in attendance, as his name does not appear on the guest list. Former President Ronald Reagan also appears on the list despite his June 5 death.

The fact that the Grateful Dead are attending should tell you a lot, for that group was very occultic, very sold into the values system of the Evil One. The Bohemian Grove has been meeting for 125 years, and is the one time yearly when the key Republican members of the Illuminati can get together, participate in occult rituals, and talk strategy for the upcoming year.

-- Weekly Updates from Cutting Edge Ministries

### **Bonesman against Bonesman**

We need to strongly reiterate that the Presidential contest is now Bonesman against Bonesman! Both Senator John Kerry and President George W. Bush are Yale graduates and life-long Adepts in the Satanic secret society known as Skull & Bones. President Bush is of the Class of 1968, while Senator Kerry is of the Class of 1966 (*Fleshing Out Skull & Bones*, p 676 and 682).

Skull & Bones is a Brotherhood of Death secret society (*America's Secret Establishment* Antony Sutton, p. 5). Believe it or not, like it or not, both Bush and Kerry have undergone the same Satanic rituals as did Adolf Hitler! But, why should Cutting Edge Ministry readers be surprised, for we have long ago revealed that the Bush New World Order is identical to the Hitler New World Order!

### **If Whatever Happens Happens, Don't Blame Homeland Security!**

WASHINGTON (AP) - The FBI warned police in California and New Mexico that it received information about possible terrorist activity in their states. However, the warning wasn't specific about particular targets or a method of attack, a federal law enforcement official said.

The FBI decided to pass along the threat information but warned that it

was considered unsubstantiated and uncorroborated, said the official, who spoke only on condition of anonymity.

The vague warning was distributed to authorities in California, New Mexico and some other Western states the official did not identify.

Homeland Security Secretary Tom Ridge has said the government does not have specific knowledge about where, when or how an attack might take place.

-- July 29, 2004

## **U.S. Army Food -- Just Add Urine**

The US military has devised a way to ensure its troops in battle need never go hungry - with dried food that can be rehydrated using dirty water or urine.

The meal comes in a pouch that filters out 99.9% of bacteria and most toxic chemicals, says *New Scientist* magazine.

The aim is to reduce the amount of water soldiers need to carry.

The firm behind it says soldiers should only use urine as last resort - as the membrane can not filter out urea, which in the long term causes kidney damage.

"The pouch - containing chicken and rice - relies on osmosis to filter the water or urine," the *New Scientist* reported.

The liquid passes through a membrane, thin sheets of a cellulose-based plastic with gaps just 0.5 nanometres wide.

It means only clean water can reach the food, and the bacteria is left behind.

The idea has come from the Combat Feeding Directorate, part of the US Army Soldier Systems Center in Natick, Massachusetts.

The organisation is also the brains behind the "indestructible sandwich", which can stay fresh for three years.

A spokeswoman said the dehydrated pouches would reduce the current weight of 3.5kg [8.75 pounds] for a day's food supply of three meals, to 0.4kg [14 ounces].

But Hydration Technology Inc, in Albany, Oregon, which made the membrane, warned it is too coarse to filter out urea so soldiers should only use urine in an absolute emergency.

Engineer Ed Beaudry was quoted by the *New Scientist* as saying that the body would not find using urine to rehydrate food toxic in the short term, but in the long term it would cause kidney damage.

--

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2hi/americas/3915659.stm>

## **FOP Called On to Support Concealed Carry**

"Based on the Fraternal Order of Police support for national concealed carry for working and retired police officers as 'a deterrent to crime and terrorism,' the Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms (CCRKBA) has called on the FOP to support national concealed carry for armed private citizens.

CCRKBA Executive Director Joe Waldron noted, 'We all know that retired policemen can't always be there when they are needed to prevent or stop a crime, but the likelihood of legal intervention increases when you add several million law-abiding, licensed private citizens to the equation.'

'These private citizens,' Waldron observed, 'lobbied vigorously on behalf of the police in their effort to pass the Law Enforcement Officers Safety Act. It is only the right and proper thing to expect that police officers now benefiting from that law, along with police groups like the FOP, would immediately, and without reservation, step to the plate and support national concealed carry for all legally-armed private citizens.'

"Private citizens have the same self-defense rights as working and retired cops," Waldron said. "Citizens do not leave their self-defense rights at state borders, no matter what they do for a living."

"Contrary to what some would have us believe," Waldron added, "the vast majority of these citizens have received competent training in the use of firearms as a condition to obtaining their carry licenses. Many are competitive shooters who use their firearms far more frequently than working or retired police officers. They are remarkably competent, and would only further add to our level of community safety and homeland security."

-- Aug. 2, 2004

## **A Flaw in the Concept of State-Licensed Concealed-Carry**

A Second Amendment group says the sheriff in Shelby County, Ohio, had no right to release the home addresses of 87 people licensed to carry concealed pistols

The Citizens Committee for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms has asked Ohio Attorney General Jim Petro to investigate the release of the personal information to the Sidney (Ohio) *Daily*

*News*, which published the home addresses in its June 8 edition.

"Under the law, only the name, county of residence and date of birth may be released by a sheriff to a bona fide journalist, and it requires a written request. There is no provision for releasing street addresses of licensees," said CCRKBA Chairman Alan Gottlieb

According to CCRKBA, the "willful and deliberate" release of confidential information by a sheriff or any other public officer or employee is a fifth-degree felony that can bring a civil fine of \$1,000.

"The newspaper printed the home addresses of all 87 citizens, for any burglar or other criminal to read," Gottlieb said. "If any of these law-abiding gun owners is victimized in any way as a result of this outrageous release of personal information, the newspaper and Sheriff O'Leary should be held legally responsible."

CCRKBA Executive Director Joe Waldron noted that Sheriff O'Leary opposed concealed carry. "But the debate is over, Waldron said. "It is now the law, and he needs to follow the law, to the letter."

-- CNSNews.com, June 11, 2004

## **Nevada's National Guard Heavily Deployed**

Nevada's National Guard soon will rank atop the country in the percentage of troops mobilized. But the nation's military needs won't compromise the safety of Silver State residents, according to Nevada's top military official, Air Force Maj. Gen. Giles Vanderhoof.

National Guard units are called on to handle state emergencies as well as federal service. The wars in Afghanistan and Iraq have sent thousands of Guard soldiers and airmen into harm's way.

According to the National Guard Bureau, by the middle of this month, Nevada's Army National Guard will be tied for first with Idaho with 60 percent of its troops mobilized. There are about 2,000 Army National Guard soldiers in Nevada, half of which will be deployed out of state by the fall.

Nevada's Air National Guard units are also heavily taxed. Since the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, Nevada has mobilized 23 percent of its nearly 1,000 airmen, which ties Nevada for second with Montana. Only Vermont ranks higher, with 29 percent of its National Guard airmen mobilized.

For some Guard troops, the statistics are becoming very real.

"If you go to war, you're scared.

There's no way around it," said Sgt. 1st Class Daniel Quintana of the 1864th Transportation Company in Henderson.

Vanderhoof said the recent mobilization of a 600-person unit, the 1st Squadron of the 221st Cavalry headquartered in Las Vegas, heavily affects the state's percentage of out-of-state deployments. Members of the 1st Squadron are scheduled to report to Fort Irwin, Calif., on Aug. 16 for 18 months.

Vanderhoof said even with the high number of troops deployed out of state, Nevada will have about 2,000 troops available in the fall.

"We've never had an emergency that required 3,000 guardsmen to respond," Vanderhoof said.

He added that in the past decade, no state emergency required the use of more than 500 troops.

Blanca Gonzalez will deploy with the 1864th. Gonzalez, a native of Mexico and non-U.S. citizen, said she joined the Guard to improve her life.

Gonzalez's main concern is leaving her husband behind. She said her job as an ophthalmologist technician is secure.

Other soldiers are not so confident. "My employment standing is a big concern," Spc. Glenn Morgan said.

According to the 1994 Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act, Guard members and reservists have certain rights that employers must follow.

"What they're entitled to is where they would have been if they never left to perform military services," said Col. Brarry Cox, director of ombudsman services with the National Committee for Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve in Washington, D.C. "If there were promotions or seniority promotions, they are entitled to that."

The law was written over several times and dates back to the 1940s. But Cox said the law is not foolproof. Companies can downsize. If there are firings in departments that a reservist or Guard member worked for before being deployed, they are not eligible for their former jobs.

While Morgan said he is concerned about his job, his wife, Tracy, said she is concerned about raising their infant daughter Zoe by herself.

"There are days that you think the first thing they will be doing is shooting at him," she said. "But this is something he believes in, and I would never take it away." --Las Vegas Review-Journal

Aug. 2, 2004

## Today's American Soldier --

### Read It and Weep -- By Carl Worden

An American soldier named Captain Henry Newton wrote me that an article of mine critical of the Iraq War was "repulsive". Just to test the character and mettle of a typical American volunteer soldier, I sent him a personal note. Be sure to read his response. You Americans need to know the kind of people in today's military: They will follow any order given them. The pathetic denial of the obvious is most chilling. If the order were given, this guy would probably kill anyone or anything - anywhere.

To: Henry Newton CPT Sir, I didn't send anything to you. The article was obviously forwarded.

Now look, I am a lifelong registered Republican Christian conservative who voted for Bush, and I am joined by a vast number of Americans who have also vowed never to make that mistake again.

Allow me to reintroduce you to the oath you took, to support and defend the CONSTITUTION, and not necessarily the U.S. government. If the government is operating in compliance with the Constitution, no conflict exists. But when the government violates the Constitution, you have a sworn duty to uphold the Constitution and to refuse to obey an illegal order. If you fail in that duty, you are a traitor to your country and to your uniform. That is what the Founding Fathers of this nation intended, and your ignorance of the Constitution is no excuse for you or your comrades in arms to follow an illegal order to wage an illegal war -- but that is exactly what you did.

By God, if you swear an oath to the Constitution, you better damn well read it!

Under Article I, Section 8, only Congress has the exclusive power to declare war. Further, there is no provision in the Constitution allowing the Congress to hand that power over to the president. Further, the so-called "War Powers Act" is not a constitutional amendment, and therefore does not have any effect on the standing constitutional requirement that only the Congress has the power to declare war. The Constitution is the supreme law of the United States.

In the instant case, Congress passed a "resolution" (no such thing exists in the Constitution) to give the president the authority to wage war on Iraq at his discretion. That was blatantly illegal.

Now I remind you: You yourself not only swore an oath, but you swore it before God and to the people of the United States to protect the Constitution with your life. That isn't happening.

I'm 54 and a witness to the Viet Nam War. No, I was not in the military. I was sent to Viet Nam under private contract, and what I saw there disturbed me very much.

58,000 kids like you were killed in Viet Nam, and thousands more were crippled. The fight was against the spread of Communism. Today, this government has reinstated full trade relations with COMMUNIST Viet Nam, without requiring the Vietnamese government to make a full accounting of our MIA/POWs. In the final analysis, those 58,000 kids died in vain, another result of our government waging an undeclared war. You and your friends are being killed in an undeclared war in Iraq, and me and mine do not want your sacrifice to be in vain. Sounds real anti, un-American, now don't it??

You want me to support you? You want me to support the troops? Well here's my support: I don't want a single Henry Newton to die in another undeclared, illegal war waged by a president who swore to uphold and defend a Constitution he had no intention of obeying. I don't want you to die in vain, Henry Newton.

I don't have any problem sending a Henry Newton to his death defending this nation, nor do I have a problem going myself. But Americans like myself who understand their solemn duty to protest blatant violations of constitutional law will not be out waving the flag while you blindly obey an illegal order to wage war. Neither will you and yours be spit upon, be called "baby-killers", or in any other way dishonored for failing to have the personal integrity to stand up for the Constitution. We regard you and yours as pawns and victims, but we will hold you in deadly contempt for taking that oath of ignorance if you ever follow an illegal order to fire on American citizens trying to reinstate the constitutional rule of law that has been stolen from us.

That will be the ultimate test of your character, and that of your other comrades in arms. That day is coming, sir.

And his terse reply was: Carl, Look I don't know you, nor do I know where you are from. Last time I checked our Commander in Chief wasn't in violation of the constitution, my oath to uphold it, nor have I received any unlawful orders ever. Go

believe whatever you want but seriously, don't email me again. Thank you  
CPT Henry A. Newton

## **U.N. Policy Bears Bitter Fruit for Landowners**

By Henry Lamb, April 12, 2004  
"U.S. Backs U.N. Plan to Control Land" was the headline in the Utah *Independent* on July 22, 1976. The article quoted Assistant Secretary of Agriculture Rexford Tugwell as saying that "Use of all land, public and private, will be controlled by the federal government in the future. Land which cannot be operated effectively under private ownership, will be held by the government as public forests, parks, game preserves, grazing ranges, recreation centers, and the like." Privately owned land will be controlled "to whatever extent is found necessary."

This federal land policy reflects the United Nations land policy adopted at a U.N. Conference in Vancouver, B.C., on June 11, 1976. The U.S. delegation, headed by Carla Hill, then-Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, endorsed virtually every resolution in the policy, as did every Communist nation.

Tugwell's prediction is now complete; the federal government either owns, or has the regulatory power to control, virtually every square inch of land in the United States.

Ironically, the same year this policy was announced Jesse Hardy bought 160 acres near Naples, Florida. He had served 12 years in the Navy, and was disabled from an injury during a helicopter jump. He built a modest home, using a generator for electricity, and began developing his dream of a wildlife area around fish ponds which he hoped would bring enough paying visitors to meet his meager money needs.

The federal government now wants Jesse's land. The government has already driven out, or bought out all other land owners in the area, in order

to expand the wilderness area to "restore" the Everglades. Though Jesse's land will not be affected by the restoration plan, he will be the only resident in the area, and as long as there is a human in the area, it will not be "wild."

Encouraged and funded by the federal government, local and state governments are buying private property, conservation easements, and development rights in every corner of the nation. When owners are not willing to sell, government is exercising its eminent domain power, forcing people off their land. In Riviera Beach, Florida Mayor Michael Brown is leading a campaign to condemn the homes of 5000 residents, so the city can acquire the land, resell it at a profit to developers who will build more expensive homes and buildings, as prescribed by the city plan, and bring in higher tax revenues.

This scheme implements recommendation D3(1) of the U.N. document adopted in 1976. Endorsed by the National Conference of State Legislatures and by the American Planning Association, it has become a popular tool for local governments to abuse the power of eminent domain at the expense of private property owners.

Governments have many tools to take private property, or prevent its owners from using it. Wetlands was the tool of choice during the 1980s. The federal government took jurisdiction over more than 200 million acres of private property by announcing its "wetlands" policy, which dictated what an owner could or could not do with his own land, if the land contained moisture 12-inches below the surface, for seven days during the growing season.

The Endangered Species Act, with its "critical habitat" provisions, allows agents of the government to dictate what private owners may or may not do with their own land. The "Clean Water Initiative" takes jurisdiction on either side of every stream, and again, dictates what private owners may or may not do with their own land. Historic Districts, Heritage Areas, Scenic

Highways, Scenic Rivers, Economic Development Zones, and dozens of other designations allow government at every level to control the use of every square inch of private land.

This is precisely the result called for in the 1976 U.N. document.

They don't call it socialism, or communism, anymore; they call it smart growth, open space, wilderness, restoration, and environmental protection. Government ownership and control of land use is the foundation of socialism - regardless of what it may be called.

### **Letters to the Editor**

Dear Sirs,

Thank you for the sample of your interesting newsletter.

I'm sending money for a 6 month subscription.

At present I'm preparing a paper, to be published into a booklet form, about the American militia. History, social structure, origins of the modern militia movement, etc. I would deeply appreciate any material, in any form, on this subject you can send me. I'll gladly pay you for the data.

Thanks!  
BB, Louisiana

\* \* \*

Editor:

I just read your Feb. 2004 newsletter ["A Tale from the Colorado State Defense Force"], and I thank you for helping get the word out. I appreciate your efforts to help.

For your information, The CSDF is no longer in "reserve". It is stronger than ever, and it is totally underground. As part of that process, every one whom I worked with have completely stopped working with the organization, eliminating any public access to the group.

Paul Graham

**NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650**

ISSN 1523-4657

[www.njmilitia.org](http://www.njmilitia.org)

info@njmilitia.org

[walnor@keepandbeararms.com](mailto:walnor@keepandbeararms.com)

Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833  
Wake Co, NC, Dave (919) 363-9410  
Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241  
Johnson County, TX, Earl (817) 866-3288

**Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00**

Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Phone ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ E-mail \_\_\_\_\_